Round: Final Round Team: P vs D

The 14th International Humanitarian Law Moot (2023) An Inter-University Competition for Taiwan

(12/3-4, 2023)

JUDGE COMMENT SHEET - ORAL PRESENTATION -

Name of Chief Judges/Judge:

Room:

CRITERIA	Prosecutor / Defendant (*in different color coding) (please """ below where applicable)		Comments on individual criteria
Content and Development of Argument - Familiarity with the law - Understanding of legal issues - Use of legal authorities - Use of policy - Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case - Organization of case - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness - Allocation of time Weight for final decision: 50%	First Counsel	Adequate Good Excellent	-
	Second Counsel	Adequate Good Excellent	-
 Questions from the Bench Composure Ability to provide direct, responsive answers Ability to perceive and discuss important issues with court Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully Ability to return to argument after questions Weight for final decision: 30% 	First Counsel	Adequate Good Excellent	-
	Second Counsel	Adequate Good Excellent	-
Skills of Communication and Deliverance - Confidence - Mannerism - Rapport with the Bench - Tone of voice, pace, eye-contact Weight for final decision: 20%	First Counsel	Adequate Good Excellent	-
	Second Counsel	Adequate Good Excellent	

Additional Overall Comments (Optional)