**SAMPLE** 

Round: 1<sup>st</sup>/2<sup>nd</sup> General Round

Team: P vs D

## The 14<sup>th</sup> International Humanitarian Law Moot (2023) An Inter-University Competition for Taiwan

(12/3-4, 2023)

## JUDGE SCORESHEET - ORAL PRESENTATION

Name of Chief Judge/Judges: Room:					
CRITERIA	RATING		(*in different	/ Defendant color coding)	
			First Counsel	Second Counsel	
Content and Development of Argument  - Familiarity with the law  - Understanding of legal issues  - Use of legal authorities  - Use of policy  - Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case  - Organization of arguments  - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness  - Allocation of time	Poor Average Good Excellent	30-34 35-40 41-46 47-50	/50	/50	
Answer to Questions from the Bench  - Composure  - Ability to provide direct, responsive answers  - Ability to perceive and discuss important issues  - Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges  - Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully  - Ability to return to argument after answering questions	Poor Average Good Excellent	18-20 21-24 25-27 28-30	/30	/30	
Skills of Communication and Deliverance - Confidence - Manner - Rapport with the Bench - Tone of voice, pace and eye contact	Poor Average Good Excellent	12-14 15-17 18-19 20	/20	/20	
	TOTAL		/100	/100	
** Mark Reduction  If any team member, regardless of First or Second Counsel, mentions their university name prior to announcement of general rounds results:  • Please mark this violation in the box on the right for the concerned counsel;  • The Chief Judge will play a facilitating role to align the decision within the panel of judges to validate the mark deduction;  • A ONE-OFF fixed mark of 5 may be deducted from the concerned Counsel(s), resulting in a deduction in the total team score in this oral hearing.				icate any violation.)	
				- /	

Additional Comments (Optional)				
Please write your comments on the space provided below:				

SIGNATURE:	(Scoresheets <b>cannot</b> be validated without signature)	